

**FACILITIES PLANNING SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING
MINUTES
THURSDAY, MAY 18, 2006, AT 2PM
JACOBS PACIFICA CONFERENCE ROOM**

<p>PRESENT: David Beaulieu Wendy Bass Selina Chi Lurelean Gaines Robert Isomoto Renee Martinez Ernest Moreno Richard Moyer</p>	<p>Alexis Navarro Leonor Perez Katherine Pfeiffer Carlos Reyes Guillermo Torres Olita Tulifua Chris Turk R.C. Williams</p>
<p>ABSENT: Richard Guy Larry Powell</p>	<p>Richard Rosalez</p>
<p>GUESTS: Al Cone Maribel Carbajal-Garcia Karen Daar Sheila Goldstein John Grimmer Lori Guidry Gerardo Gutierrez Gisela Herrera</p>	<p>Jane Kerford James Kenny Kathie Kingett Carol Lem John Matson Carol Lem Ramon Miramontes Davinder Sangha</p>

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by R. Isomoto at 2:12PM.

Due to a malfunction of the tape recorder, the minutes were unavailable to review.

1. Facilities Update – L. Guidry

Completed Projects

Men’s Baseball Facilities: The facilities are open for occupancy.

In Construction

Site Preparation Package for Parking Lot Structure #3: scheduled for completion mid-June, 2006.

Campus Fire Alarm Improvements: Ongoing at night; it is 95% complete.
Ceiling Mitigation Phase II: Construction is 60% complete.

Bid/Award

N2 Relocation: to start after graduation.

DSA Review: the following projects are under DSA review:

- Academic Network Integrated Backbone
- RWGPL – Utility Infrastructure and Traffic Improvements
- Baum Center G1, Student Services E1 & Entry Plaza
- Parking Structure Lot 3
- PE Fields – Women’s Softball Field
- Master Accessibility Plan
- Physical, Plant Building
- South Gate Parking Lot Paving
- Parking Structure Lot 4
- Weingart Stadium Scoreboard Replacement

Construction Documents

The following are under Construction Documents:

- Performing and Fine Arts Complex
L. Guidry defined constructability does not address the cost, rather it ensures that drawings have been coordinated; details are constructible, etc. It is a district requirement. She further clarified that such things as a door missing are design faults not constructability. Cost estimates are a separate issue. It is not uncommon for these estimates to be different.. There may not be a Value Engineering process.
- Community Services – K6 Relocation
- Temporary Facilities – Relocation or Swing Space
- Northeast Parking Resurface

Design Development

The following projects are under design development:

- Math/Science Complex, DD to be completed by 23rd of this month.
- Weingart Stadium

Schematic Design

The following projects are under schematic design:

- R5 Relocation
- R5 Demolition and Replacement

Programming

The following projects are under Programming:

- E3/E5 Replacement
- Fitness Center
- Central Plant

Planning Highlights:

South Gate Campus, which is in early phase of EIR master plan.

Everything else is ongoing.

2. Replacement of Stadium Scoreboard – L. Guidry

a) As part of the initial DSA, stadium modernization is designed to bring the stadium up to current standards. The stadium was built in 1949. Modernization includes making it handicap accessible with the terrace component of it, which is located in the middle seating area. The terrace is equipped with concession stands and restrooms and seats 400 and has tables too. In order to do the terrace project, the big speakers along side the scoreboard have to be removed. Mr. Moreno said the speakers are still in working condition. The main structure of the scoreboard remains, however, the scoring is being replaced with a video screen and another scoreboard at the bottom and speakers at the top. Care has been taken not to obstruct the view of the scoreboard from the stands with light fixtures or poles. Mr. Moreno said this is the ‘residue’ of earlier plans to redo the stadium. Everything else has been given up, save for the field and track and the resurfaced the seating, amid objections from DSA. We are doing the most with the little resources available to make the stadium which will be an asset to the college for years to come, especially when the parking construction is done. There are no seat backs on the seats. K. Pfeiffer objected to this, however Mr. Moreno said there are a number of famous stadiums without seatbacks.

b) Mr. Moreno said that any major changes to the stadium would be very costly. However, D. Beaulieu said there appeared to be some discrepancies in the figures involved. Reading from a budget list dated a year ago, he quoted the Weingart Stadium budget as being divided into two: expansion and modernization. The cost of expansion is listed at \$3.2million; modernization at \$8.7 million. President. Moreno clarified that originally the expansion was more than \$3million it was approximately \$15 million. However, when projects were being trimmed all that money was trimmed down. This included filling in the end-zone of the stadium, seating, locker room facilities and press box .

c) L. Guidry gave the figures for the scoreboard and terrace: scoreboard is \$1.2million; terrace is \$2million. K. Pfeiffer said we need to know the total cost of the remodeling. Last March, the stadium modernization was reduced. The total cost of the stadium is \$5.7 million, which does not include construction budget. Total budget for scoreboard is \$1.6 million, construction is \$1.2million; terrace total budget is \$1.4million originally, but is now it is closer to \$2million.

Further discussion ensued regarding the replacement of the stadium scoreboard.:
d) D. Beaulieu said that replacement of the stadium scoreboard is a ‘tough sell’ especially when academic projects are being moved down on the list. Furthermore, the AAA Bond measure is not a certainty.

- e) President Moreno said the terrace area of the stadium is for handicap accessibility. He said it is important for the stadium to start generating some revenue for the college's future.
- f) O. Tulifua reminded the members that the terrace would provide inclusion for our disabled student to participate in stadium events. She also questioned why there would be resistance to funding a project that can be completed with a small budget in comparison to other projects that require a great deal of money.
- g) K. Kingett said that the college needs professional management of the stadium, PFAC, and auditorium.
- h) E. Flores argued in favor of the new scoreboard, saying it is very marketable. He said the best time to utilize the stadium is during the months of January through August.
- i) D. Beaulieu inquired from E. Flores on the status of the negotiations with the soccer teams he had mentioned some months ago.
- j) R. Isomoto informed that any money gotten from the rental of the stadium goes in the general fund. He added that the stadium is in a prime location in the city of Monterey Park.
- k) G. Mendoza said he has yet to hear any program that will be impacted by the replacement of the stadium scoreboard.
- l) President Moreno said the Board does not allow the sale of liquor in the stadium at sporting events. He added that the stadium is a necessity, and as such, the college should make it income generator. He urged Monterey Park residents to back the college in its development.

THERE WILL BE A VOTE ON THIS AGENDA ITEM AT THE NEXT MEETING.

3. Items from the floor

D. Beaulieu informed the members that a decision had been expected from the Board of Trustees on whether or not to proceed with a AAA Bond measure sooner rather than later. It now appears that it will be a month before this decision is made.

4. Adjournment

M/S/P: R. Isomoto, O. Tulifua. The meeting adjourned at 3:03PM.

NEXT MEETING: THURSDAY, JUNE 1, 2006, AT 2PM
JACOBS PACIFICA CONFERENCE ROOM.

